

# CCB Meeting

## Decision Summary

Thurs., May 29, 2003  
9:00 a.m.  
Rockledge 1  
OER Conf. Rm., Third Floor

### Requests Covered

	Submitted By	Area Affected	Type Of Request	Cost/Schedule Impact	Document Title
01	Mike Goodman	Subprojects	Requirements Change / Scope Reduction	Yes	05-29-2003 Item 01
02	Krishna Collie	Committee Management	Requirements Clarification	No	05-29-2003 Item 02
R1	Dan Hall	PPF	Requirements Change	Yes	05-22-2003 Item 03 Revisited

### Request & Decision Summary

	Submitted By	Area Affected	Type Of Request	Cost/Schedule Impact	Document Title
01	Mike Goodman	Subprojects	Requirements Change / Scope Reduction	Yes	05-29-2003 Item 01
	<p><b>Request:</b> The July 2003 Subproject requirements were modified to remove the following items. These reductions in scope were made to minimize the complexity of rollover scenarios, so that system behavior will be more predictable for subproject screen and API users.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Removed requirement in section 2, subheading "ATRS", subheading "Subproject Synchronization - Updates," which stated that we would attempt to synchronize (update) a rolled-over subproject based on more recent changes that had been applied to last year's subproject record. The only remaining requirement is that the CRISP-only information (abstract and terms) be rolled out to the subproject at that time.</li> <li>2. Removed requirement in section 2, subheading "ATRS", subheading "Subproject Synchronization - Deletes." There will no longer be any attempt to delete rolled-over subprojects based on last year's subproject changes.</li> <li>3. Removed requirement in section 20, entitled "Prevent Deletion of Subproject, if Prior Record May Cause Rollover." It was decided that the frustration of not being able to delete a subproject would be greater – and more likely -- than the frustration of a subproject reappearing (in those rare cases where a subproject rollover might be repeated).</li> </ol> <p><b>Decision:</b> Approved</p>				
02	Krishna Collie	Committee Management	Requirements Clarification	No	05-29-2003 Item 02
	<p><b>Request:</b> We are testing the performance metrics for CM WEB. These metrics were originally taken from the metrics devise to test the Commons, since there is no global eRA supplementary specification that specifies performance metrics for eRA applications I need to update the scope document with this info</p> <p><b>Decision:</b> Need clarification for request</p> <p><b>Action Items:</b> 1. (Krishna Collie) Clarify request; include target metrics. Email response to eRA CCB distribution.</p>				

Previous Items Revisited					
	Submitted By	Area Affected	Type Of Request	Cost/Schedule Impact	Document Title
	Dan Hall	PPF	Requirements Change	Yes	05-22-2003 Item 03
R1	<p><b>Request:</b> The Collapse Profile requirements were modified to mimic the COM1250 Collapse Profile requirements. This change was made to reduce scope of development and utilize the existing test scenarios. This was done to incorporate the Payback calculation required at the Profile level, which was not explicitly stated in the requirements.</p> <p><b>Decision:</b> Approved</p> <p><b>Action Items:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(Joe Ashcraft) Verify that test can be absorbed into schedule. Send reply via e-mail to eRA CCB. Per Verle, testing can be absorbed.</li> </ol> <p><b>Notes:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Risk of not implementing – Data integrity of Payback calculation could be compromised.</li> </ol>				